### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Ming Zhao, Zhejiang Provincial People's Hospital, China

REVIEWED BY Mallikarjun Patil, University of Alabama at Birmingham, United States Yongfen Min, National Institutes of Health (NIH), United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE Huiying He Muiyinghe@bjmu.edu.cn Binbin Li Kqlibinbin@bjmu.edu.cn

### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Surgical Oncology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Oncology

RECEIVED 06 August 2022 ACCEPTED 11 January 2023 PUBLISHED 30 January 2023

#### CITATION

Qin Z, Bai J, He H and Li B (2023) Expression and significance of m6A-RNAmethylation in oral cancer and precancerous lesion. *Front. Oncol.* 13:1013054. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2023.1013054

### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Qin, Bai, He and Li. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Expression and significance of m6A-RNA-methylation in oral cancer and precancerous lesion

Zhiming Qin<sup>1,2</sup>, Jiaying Bai<sup>1,2</sup>, Huiying He<sup>3\*</sup> and Binbin Li<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Oral Pathology, Peking University School and Hospital of Stomatology & National Center of Stomatology & National Clinical Research Center for Oral Diseases & National Engineering Research Center of Oral Biomaterials and Digital Medical Devices, Beijing, China, <sup>2</sup>Research Unit of Precision Pathologic Diagnosis in Tumors of the Oral and Maxillofacial Regions, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences (2019RU034), Beijing, China, <sup>3</sup>Department of Pathology, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Third Hospital, Peking University Health Science Center, Beijing, China

**Background:** Oral potentially malignant disorders (OPMDs) and oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) are a series of related pathologic and molecular events involving simple epithelial hyperplasia, mild to severe dysplasia and canceration. N6-methyladenosine RNA methylation, as the most common modification of both coding mRNA and non-coding ncRNA in eukaryotes, participates in the regulation of the occurrence and development of various malignant tumors in human. However, its role in oral epithelial dysplasia (OED) and OSCC remain unclear.

**Materials and methods:** In this study, multiple public databases were used for bioinformatics analysis of 23 common m6A methylation regulators in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC). Protein expressions of IGF2BP2 and IGF2BP3 were verified accordingly in clinical cohort samples of OED and OSCC.

**Results:** Patients with high expression of FTO、HNRNPC、HNRNPA2B1、 LRPPRC、IGF2BP1、IGF2BP2、IGF2BP3 had a poor prognosis. IGF2BP2 had a relatively high mutation rate in HNSCC, and its expression was significantly positively correlated with tumor purity, and significantly negatively correlated with the infiltration level of B cells and CD8+T cells. The expression of IGF2BP3 was significantly positively correlated with tumor purity and CD4+T cells. Immunohistochemistrically, the expression of IGF2BP2 and IGF2BP3 in oral simple epithelial hyperplasia, OED and OSCC increased gradually. Both were strongly expressed in OSCC.

**Conclusion:** IGF2BP2 and IGF2BP3 were the potential biological prognostic indicators of OED and OSCC.

#### KEYWORDS

oral squamous cell carcinoma, oral epithelial dysplasia, N6-methyladenosine, IGF2BP2, IGF2BP3

### Introduction

Oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) is the most prevalent malignancy in oral and maxillofacial region, with approximately 350,000 newly diagnosed cases annually (1). A considerable number of patients with OSCC develop from oral epithelial dysplasia (OED). It is generally believed that the higher the degree of epithelial dysplasia, the higher the risk of malignant transformation. However, the pathological judgment is subjective to some extent, which may lead to discrepancy between different pathologists. In some cases, the pathologic predictions had no significant relation with clinical prognosis. Therefore, it is needed to discover more molecular markers for accurate assessment of cancer risk and further determine the specific biomarkers of OED and OSCC.

N6-methyladenosine (m6A), the methylation modification at the sixth N atom of adenine, is the most common post-transcriptional modification on mRNA, mediating >60% RNA methylation (2). Abnormal m6A methylation levels play an essential role in the progression of various cancers (3, 4). M6A methylation is dynamic and reversible in cells, and the levels of m6A methylation in tumors mainly depend on the expression of m6A methylation regulators. Regulators that interact with m6A methylation are mainly divided into three categories: Writers (methyltransferases), Erasers (demethylases), and Readers (reading proteins). Recently, new evidence has showed that m6A modification is associated with tumor proliferation, glycolysis, apoptosis, and metastasis. m6A modification could play either an oncogenetic or tumor-suppressive role in malignant tumors (5, 6). For example, METTL3, as one of the m6A-RNA-methylation regulators, is upregulated in OSCC tissues, and high levels of METTL3 expression in tumor tissues predict poor patient survival. METTL3 promotes the proliferation, invasion, and migration of OSCC cells in vitro, while METTL3-knockout inhibits tumor growth in vivo. METTL3 promotes the stabilization of c-Myc through YTHDF1-mediated m6A modification, leading to the occurrence of OSCC (7). In addition, OSCC patients with high FTO expression had larger tumor volume, higher tumor node metastasis (TNM) stage, worse differentiation, and shorter survival time. Stable knockout of FTO inhibited OSCC cell viability, colony formation, and tumor growth (8).

Thus, we utilized public databases to analyze the expression of 23 m6A methylation regulators and their relationships with clinicopathological characteristics, and to predict their potential functions. Further, clinical cohort cases with epithelial hyperplasia/ dysplasia and OSCC were collected to verify the protein expression of Insulin-Like Growth Factor 2 mNA Binding Protein 2 (IGF2BP2) and Insulin-Like Growth Factor 2 mNA Binding Protein 3 (IGF2BP3), and their clinical associations in OSCC and OED cases.

### Materials and methods

### Oncomine analysis

Oncomine gene expression array datasets (https://www. oncomine.org/resource/login.html) were used to analyze the transcription levels of m6A regulators in cancers. The cutoffs of *P*value and fold change were defined as 0.01 and 2 by Student's ttest, respectively.

### Gene expression profiling interactive analysis dataset

GEPIA is a newly developed interactive web server for analyzing the RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) expression data of 9,736 tumors and 8,587 normal samples from the Cancer Genome Atlas and the Genotype-Tissue Expression projects, using a standard processing pipeline. GEPIA provides customizable functions such as tumor/ normal differential expression analysis, profiling according to cancer types or pathological stages, patient survival analysis, similar gene detection, correlation analysis, and dimensionality reduction analysis (9).

### The Kaplan-Meier Plotter

Kaplan-Meier Plotter (www.kmplot.com) was used to analyze the overall survival (OS), first-progression survival (FP), and post-progression survival (PPS) of patients with head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC), which contained gene expression data and survival information of HNSCC patients (10). Cases were divided into two groups by median expression (high vs low expression) and assessed by a Kaplan-Meier survival plot, with the hazard ratio (HR) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) and log-rank p-value. Only the JetSet best probe set of m6A regulators was chosen to obtain Kaplan-Meier plots, in which the number-at-risk was indicated below the main plot.

### The cBioPortal

The Cancer Genome Atlas have sequencing and pathological data of 30 different cancers. The HNSCC dataset, including data from 1,107 cases with pathology reports, was selected for further analyses of M6A regulators using cBioPortal (11). The genomic profiles included

Abbreviations: OSCC, Oral squamous cell carcinoma; OED, Oral epithelial dysplasia; m6A, N6-methyladenosine; TNM, Tumor node metastasis; IGF2BP2, Insulin-like growth factor 2 mRA-binding Protein 2; IGF2BP3, Insulin Like Growth Factor 2 mNA Binding Protein 3; GEPIA, Gene expression profiling interactive analysis; RNA-Seq, RNA sequencing; GTEx, Genotype-Tissue Expression; OS, Overall survival; FP, First-progression survival; PPS, Post-progression survival; HNSCC, Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma; HR, Hazard ratio; CIs, Confidence intervals; CNAs, Copy number alterations; GISTIC, Genomic identification of significant targets in cancer; IHC, Immunohistochemistry; FFPE, Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded; HRP, Horse-radish peroxidase; DAB, 3, 3-diaminobenzidine; LSD, Least significant difference; HE, hematoxylin-eosin; TCGA, The cancer genome atlas; SCC, Squamous cell carcinoma; BMI1, B-cell-specific moloneymurine leukemia virus insertion site 1; LSCC, laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor.

mutations, putative copy number alterations (CNAs) from genomic identification of significant targets in cancer (GISTIC), mRNA expression Z scores (RNA-seq v.2 RSEM), and protein expression Z scores (reverse phase protein array).

### The TIMER database analysis

The Timer database is a publicly available data platform for the systematic analysis of tumor immune infiltration (12). We used GENE modules to explore the correlation between the expression levels of m6A methylation regulators and immune cell infiltration in patients with HNSCC, including CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, B cells, neutrophils, dendritic cells and macrophages.

### Patient sections

The study samples were obtained from Peking University School and Hospital of Stomatology (Beijing, China) from January 2000 to June 2021. Inclusion criteria were as follows: the initial diagnosis was epithelial hyperplasia or epithelial dysplasia; there were complete medical records and clinical data; and there was no history of oral mucosal epithelial lesions or OSCC. Exclusion criteria were as follows: no pathological biopsy was performed; cancerous change was diagnosed by pathological biopsy at the first visit; and there was a history of radiotherapy and chemotherapy in the past. All study samples were further confirmed by two pathologists according to World Health Organization criteria (13). This study was in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Data were collected after approval of the Biomedical Ethics Committee of Peking University School and Hospital of Stomatology (No. PKUSSIRB-201948111).

### Immunohistochemistry

Formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissues were chosen from above cases. Briefly, 3-mm sections were incubated with commercial rabbit poly-clonal antibodies against IGF2BP2 and IGF2BP3 (Abcam) at 1/200 dilution overnight at 4°C. Then, the sections were conjugated with horse-radish peroxidase (HRP) antibody (1:500 dilution; Gene Tech) at room temperature for 2 h, then covered by 3, 3-diaminobenzidine (DAB), and slides were mounted with mounting medium.

### Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed with the SPSS 24.0 software (SPSS Inc., USA) and the data from these independent experiments were presented as mean  $\pm$  SD. If the multi-sample comparisons were independent of each other and conform to the normal distribution and homogeneity of variance, a one-way analysis of variance would be applied. Differences between groups were compared using the least significant difference (LSD) test. If the heterogeneity between samples didn't conform to the normal distribution, the Nonparametric tests

Mann-Whitney test, or Kruskal-Wallis H test would be used. Enumeration data were analyzed using Fisher's exact test of  $\chi 2$  test. Correlation analysis was performed using Spearman correlation analysis. The test level  $\alpha$ = 0.05 and P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

### Results

### Baseline characteristics of the patient sections

A total of 109 patients with OSCC or OED were enrolled. In this cohort, 28 patients relapsed after the initial biopsy and became cancer, and 81 patients underwent no recurrence within 5 years. Among them, 48 sections were assigned to the mild epithelial dysplasia group, 71 sections were assigned to the moderate epithelial dysplasia group, 57 sections were assigned to the severe epithelial dysplasia group, 63 sections were assigned to the OSCC group, and 37 sections were assigned to the epithelial hyperplasia group.

At the same time, 195 biopsy sections were collected from above 28 patients with malignant transformation, and only one section was selected for each biopsy, which were recorded as the progression group; 81 patients were pathologically diagnosed as epithelial dysplasia at the first visit, and there was no recurrence or malignant transformation during the follow-up period of at least 5 years, which were collected as the stable group (Tables 1, 2).

### Bioinformatic analysis of m6A methylation regulators in HNSCC

A lot of evidence showed that m6A RNA methylation promotes tumor initiation and progression (14). However, there are few studies to explore the role of m6A methylation in OSCC and OED. To study the expression correlation of m6A methylation regulators in OSCC and OED, we reviewed relevant literature and selected a total of 23 m6A methylation regulators. We preliminarily analyzed the expression of these regulators in different cancer types through the Oncomine database compared with normal control. Figure 1A demonstrated that the m6A level upregulated in OSCC and OED tissues compared with normal control. It was found that m6A methylation regulators were expressed to different degrees in various cancer types, and the expressions of METTL3, WTAP, ZC3H13, KIAA1429, YTHDC, YTHDF2, YTHDF3, HNRNPA2B, HNRNPC, LRPPRC, IGF2BP2 and IGF2BP3 in HNSCC were higher, among which KIAA1429, YTHDF3, IGF2BP2, and IGF2BP3 had significant differences in the corresponding studies (Supplementary Material)

Figure 1B showed that the expression levels of RBM15, FTO, YTHDC1, YTHDC2, HNRNPC, HNRNPA2B1, LRPPRC, IGF2BP1, IGF2BP2, IGF2BP3 were significantly correlated with the overall survival of patients with HNSCC (P<0.05) through Kaplan-Meier curve and log-rank test analysis. Among them, the higher the expression of RBM15, YTHDC1, and YTHDC2, the better the prognosis of the patient; while the overall survival time of patients with high expressions of FTO, HNRNPC, HNRNPA2B1, LRPPRC,

### TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics of the patients in advanced group.

Chavestoristic	Epithelial hyperplasia		Mild dysplasia		Moderate dysplasia		Severe dysplasia		
Characteristic	Nu	mber %	Number %		Number %		Number %		
Sex									
Female	6	85.71	4	80.00	8	61.54	3	100.00	
Male	1	14.29	1	20.00	5	38.46	0	0.00	
Age(years)									
≤40	0	0.00	1	20.00	1	7.69	0	0.00	
40-60	5	71.43	1	20.00	7	53.85	0	0.00	
≥60	2	28.57	3	60.00	5	38.46	3	100.00	
Sites									
Tongue	2	28.57	3	60.00	6	46.15	1	33.33	
Buccal	2	28.57	1	20.00	1	7.69	1	33.33	
Gingiva	3	42.86	1	20.00	3	23.08	1	33.33	
Other	0	0.00	0	0.00	3	23.08	0	0.00	
Tobacco smoking									
Yes	0	0.00	0	0.00	2	15.38	0	0.00	
No	7	100.00	5	100.00	11	84.62	3	100.00	
Alcohol drinking									
Yes	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	7.69	0	0.00	
No	7	100.00	5	100.00	12	92.31	3	100.00	
Prognosis(relapse)									
Original sites	3	42.86	3	60.00	8	61.54	1	33.33	
Multiple sites	4	57.14	2	40.00	5	38.46	2	66.67	

### TABLE 2 Baseline characteristics of the patients in stable group.

Characteristic	Epithelial hyperplasia		Mild dysplasia		Moderate dysplasia		Severe dysplasia		
	Number %		Number %		Number %		Number %		
Sex									
Female	10	47.62	8	40.00	6	31.58	11	52.38	
Male	11	52.38	12	60.00	13	68.42	10	47.62	
Age(years)									
≤40	7	33.33	3	15.00	1	8.86	1	4.76	
40-60	11	52.38	11	55.00	11	57.89	9	42.86	
≥60	3	14.29	6	30.00	7	36.84	11	52.38	
Sites									
Tongue	12	57.14	6	30.00	12	63.16	13	61.90	
Buccal	6	28.57	10	50.00	3	15.79	0	0.00	
Gingiva	3	14.29	3	15.00	2	10.53	3	14.29	
Other	0	0.00	1	5.00	2	10.53	4	19.05	
Tobacco smoking									
Yes	6	28.57	10	50.00	3	15.79	7	33.33	
No	15	71.43	10	50.00	16	84.21		66.67	
Alcohol drinking									
Yes	6	28.57	5	25.00	3	15.79	8	38.10	
No	15	71.43	15	75.00	16	84.21	13	61.90	



m6A and its regulatory genes abnormally expressed in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC). (A) An integrated analysis of 23 m6A methylation regulators by searching the Oncomine database. (B) The correlation between m6A methylation regulators and HNSCC prognosis supported by the data from Kaplan-Meier Plotter database. (C) The expressions of IGF2BP2 and IGF2BP3 were upregulated in HNSCC by searching the GEPIA database. (D) No significant difference between IGF2BP2 and IGF2BP3 in different stages of HNSCC (P>0.05) from the data of GEPIA database.

IGF2BP1, IGF2BP2, and IGF2BP3 were significantly shorter than betwee

those of patients with low expressions. To analyze the expression of 23 methylation regulators in the cancer genome atlas (TCGA) in HNSCC and normal tissues, we searched the GEPIA database and found that only the m6A methylation regulators IGF2BP2 and IGF2BP3 had significant differences in expression in HNSCC than in normal tissues (P<0.05) (Figure 1C), which was consistent with the results from the Oncomine database. However, there was no significant difference between IGF2BP2 and IGF2BP3 in different stages of HNSCC (P>0.05) (Figure 1D). Infiltrating immune cells are an important part of the tumor microenvironment and are closely related to tumor progression. Furthermore, we explored the relationship between the expression of m6A methylation regulators and the level of immune infiltration. The results showed that the expression of IGF2BP2 was significantly positively correlated with tumor purity (cor=0.113, P=1.19e-02), and was significantly negatively correlated with the infiltration levels of B cells (cor=-0.249, P=3.89e-08) and CD8+ T

cells (cor=-0.225, P=1.91e-08). And the expression of IGF2BP3 was significantly correlated with tumor purity (cor=0.094, P=4.03e-02) and CD4+ T cells (cor=0.19, P=2.07e-05) (Figure 2A).

To obtain the mutation frequency of m6A methylation regulators in HNSCC, we analyzed and integrated the cBioPortal database. The m6A methylation regulators were found to have various degrees of genetic variation in HNSCC (Figure 2B), and the most common variation was amplification. Among them, IGF2BP2 had the highest genetic variation frequency (14%), followed by KIAA1429 (5%) and YTHDF3 (4%). The frequencies of other regulators were less than 3%, indicating that changes in

the expression levels of these regulators were not caused by genetic alterations.

## Immunohistochemical analysis of m6A methylation regulators IGF2BP2 and IGF2BP3

Combined with the m6A methylation regulator expression profile and prognosis of patients with HNSCC, we selected IGF2BP2 and IGF2BP3 to verify their expression in OSCC and OED. We examined



Mutation frequency of m6A methylation regulators in HNSCC and their association with IGF2BP2 and IGF2BP3. (A) The mutation frequency of m6A methylation regulators in HNSCC (The cBioPortal database). (B) The correlation of IGF2BP2 and IGF2BP3 expressions with immune cell infiltration in patients with HNSCC. (C, D) Protein expression of IGF2BP2 and IGF2BP3 in oral simple epithelial hyperplasia, OED and OSCC increased gradually.

IGF2BP2 and IGF2BP3 expression of 69 OSCC patients (A total of 236 tissue sections) from our department by IHC staining. The results showed that both IGF2BP2 and IGF2BP3 were expressed in the cytoplasm of squamous epithelial cells (Figures 2C, D).

According to the semi-quantitative results of IHC, we found that the expression of IGF2BP2 increased gradually in epithelial hyperplasia, epithelial dysplasia and squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) (all P<0.01) (Figure 3A, Table 3). And the expression of IGF2BP2 increased in epithelial hyperplasia, mild dysplasia, moderate dysplasia and severe dysplasia (P<0.01) (Figure 3C). Besides, the expression of IGF2BP3 was increased in epithelial hyperplasia, epithelial dysplasia and SCC (all P<0.05) (Figure 3B, Table 3). However, there was no statistical significance for IGF2BP3 between epithelial hyperplasia and mild dysplasia (P>0.05) (Figure 3D, Table 3).

There was no significant difference in immunohistochemical semiquantitative scores of IGF2BP2 and IGF2BP3 in the epithelial hyperplasia, mild dysplasia, moderate dysplasia and severe dysplasia groups (P>0.05). Moreover, the expression of

IGF2BP2 and IGF2BP3 were shown moderate correlation (r=0.490, P<0.001).

The relationship between the expression of IGF2BP2 and IGF2BP3 in the initial biopsy samples of 69 patients and the clinicopathological characteristics of these patients was analyzed. The results showed that there was no significant difference in the expression of IGF2BP2 and IGF2BP3 among different genders, different ages or smoking/drinking (P>0.05). However, they were significantly correlated with pathological diagnosis in the initial biopsy (P<0.05) (Tables 4, 5).

### Discussion

M6A methylation is one of the significant factors in the occurrence and development of tumors. Reported studies have shown that the m6A write protein METTL3 can recognize the m6A methylation site on the 3'-UTR of B-cell-specific Moloney murine leukemia virus insertion site 1 (BMI1), bind to the m6A read protein



### FIGURE 3

Increased expression of IGF2BP2 and IGF2BP3 was associated with the occurrence of oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC), oral epithelial dysplasia (OED), and progression of OED. (**A**, **B**) Immunohistochemical semiquantitative results of IGF2BP2 and IGF2BP3 expression in oral epithelial hyperplasia, OED, and OSCC. (**C**, **D**) Immunohistochemical semiquantitative results of IGF2BP2 and IGF2BP3 in epithelial hyperplasia, mild/moderate/severe epithelial dysplasia. \*:extreme value.

### TABLE 3 Differential expression of IGF2BP2 and IGF2BP3 in epithelial hyperplasia, dysplasia and SCC\*.

Cliniconathological diagnosis	p			
	IGF2BP2	IGF2BP3		
hyperplasia-dysplasia	0.000	0.000		
Dysplasia-SCC	0.000	0.000		
hyperplasia-mild dysplasia	0.000	0.511		
mild dysplasia-moderate dysplasia	0.003	0.000		
moderate dysplasia-severe dysplasia	0.000	0.018		

SCC, squamous cell carcinoma.

TABLE 4 Association between the clinicopathological characteristics and IGF2BP2 expression in 109 cases of OSCC and OED.

Chave stavistic		IGF2BP2								
Characteristic	n	Negative	Weak Positive	Strong Positive	P"					
Gender										
Female	62	22	18	22	0.400					
Male	47	21	14	12	0.490					
Age(years)	Age(years)									
≤40	14	8	4	2						
40-60	56	20	19	17	0.380					
≥60	39	15	9	15						
Locations										
Tongue	55	18	18	19	0.060					
Buccal	23	14	4	5						
Gingiva	19	9	7	3						
Other	12	2	3	7						
Initial diagnosis										
Epithelial hyperplasia	28	27	1	0						
Mild dysplasia	24	8	14	2	0.000					
Moderate dysplasia	33	8	11	14	0.000					
Severe dysplasia	24	0	6	18						
Smoking										
Yes	28	13	8	7	0.626					
No	81	30	24	27	0.020					
Drinking										
Yes	23	11	5	7	0.577					
No	86	32	27	27	0.377					

\* P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

IGF2BP1, promote the translation of BMI1 and induce the proliferation and metastasis of OSCC thereby (15). However, at present, there is no comprehensive analysis of the relationship between m6A methylation regulators and tumor progression of HNSCC, especially its role in oral mucosal malignant transformation.

Differential expression analysis showed that there were differences between oral epithelial hyperplasia and epithelial

dysplasia (16). The positive rate of P53 was gradually increasing also indicated that the degree of malignancy was increasing (17). Through bioinformatics analysis, we found that high expressions of 7 m6A methylation regulators including IGF2BP2 and IGF2BP3 were associated with poor prognosis. Therefore, IGF2BP2 and IGF2BP3 were selected to be explored in OSCC and OED tissues. It was found that both proteins were highly expressed in OSCC, and

Characteristic	IGF2BP3							
Characteristic	n	Negative	Weak Positive	Strong Positive				
Sex								
Female	62	37	10	15	0.497			
Male	47	31	4	12				
Age(years)								
≤40	14	10	2	2	0.895			
40-60	56	35	7	14				
≥60	39	23	5	11				
Locations								
Tongue	55	32	10	13	0.119			
Buccal	23	19	0	4				
Gingiva	19	12	1	6				
Other	12	5	3	4				
Initial diagnosis								
Epithelial hyperplasia	28	25	1	2	0.002			
Mild dysplasia	24	18	3	3				
Moderate dysplasia	33	17	5	11				
Severe dysplasia	24	8	5	11				
Smoking								
Yes	28	19	0	9	0.054			
No	81	49	14	18				
Drinking								
Yes	23	15	0	8	0.084			
No	86	53	14	19				

### TABLE 5 Association between the clinicopathological characteristics and IGF2BP3 expression in 109 cases of OSCC and OED.

\* P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

their expression increased gradually in oral epithelial hyperplasia, OED, and OSCC.

The insulin-like growth factor 2 mRNA-binding proteins family consists of three restriction enzymes: IGF2BP1, IGF2BP2, and IGF2BP3, which are mainly responsible for regulating the localization, translation, and stability of m6A methylated mRNAs. IGF2BPs exert oncogenic effects by stabilizing m6A-modified mRNAs of oncogenic targets and promoting tumorigenesis (18, 19).

IGF2BP2 is located on chromosome 3q27.2, which binds to the 5' UTR of insulin-like growth factor 2 mRNA and regulates its translation (20). This study showed that the expression of IGF2BP2 increased in epithelial hyperplasia, OED, and OSCC, and gradually increased with the severity of dysplasia. However, there was no significant difference in expression between epithelial hyperplasia and mild epithelial dysplasia between the progressive and stable groups. Previous studies of IGF2BP2 mainly focused on its role as an RNA-binding protein regulating multiple biological processes. For instance, lncRNA HOTAIR inhibits IGF2BP2 and regulates the growth and invasion of colon cancer (21). In recent years, researchers are more tend to regard IGF2BP2 as a reading protein of m6A to regulate the occurrence and development of tumors. For instance, METTL3 stabilized the expression of SOX2 through an m6A-IGF2BP2-dependent mechanism in colon cancer (22). In a study on esophageal adenocarcinoma, IGF2BP2 was found to be more expressed in metastatic lesions than in primary tumors (23).

IGF2BP3 is located on chromosome 7p15.3 and is a carcinoembryonic protein, which is mainly expressed in the embryonic stage and has a lower expression in adult tissues (24). Most of the studies on IGF2BP3 were analyzed by immunohistochemical methods, and several studies in OSCC confirmed that the expression of IGF2BP3 was up-regulated in OSCC (25, 26). In this study, the expression of IGF2BP3 was positive in epithelial hyperplasia, OED, and OSCC. As an m6A methylation reader protein, IGF2BP3 is also involved in regulating the occurrence and development of tumors. In larvngeal carcinoma, RBM15 and IGF2BP3 are involved in m6A methylation modification of TMBIM6, thereby regulating the expression of TMBIM6 in laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma (LSCC) (27). And some studies have shown that the knockout of IGF2BP3 can inhibit DNA replication and angiogenesis in the S phase of the cell cycle by reading the m6A modification of CCND1 and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) respectively in colon cancer (28).

### Conclusion

We aimed to predict the cancer risk of OED from the perspective of epigenetics. This study suggested that m6A methylation regulators played an important role in head and neck tumor progression and demonstrates that IGF2BP2 and IGF2BP3 were prognostic indicators and potential biomarkers for immunotherapy in OSCC and OED. These findings may provide new strategies for the diagnosis and treatment of OSCC and OED.

### Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

### **Ethics statement**

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by The Biomedical Ethics Committee of the Department of Peking University (No. PKUSSIRB-201948111).

### Author contributions

All authors listed have made a substantial, direct, and intellectual contribution to the work and approved it for publication.

### References

1. Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin* (2018) 68(6):394–424. doi: 10.3322/caac.21492

2. Jia G, Fu Y, He C. Reversible RNA adenosine methylation in biological regulation. *Trends Genet* (2013) 29(2):108–15. doi: 10.1016/j.tig.2012.11.003

3. Lipshitz HD, Claycomb JM, Smibert CA. Post-transcriptional regulation of gene expression. *Methods* (2017) 126:1-2. doi: 10.1016/j.ymeth.2017.08.007

4. Deng X, Su R, Feng X, Wei M, Chen J. Role of N6-methyladenosine modification in cancer. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* (2018) 48:1–7. doi: 10.1016/j.gde.2017.10.005

5. Dai D, Wang H, Zhu L, Jin H, Wang X. N6-methyladenosine links RNA metabolism to cancer progression. *Cell Death Dis* (2018) 9(2):124. doi: 10.1038/s41419-017-0129-x

6. Lan Q, Liu PY, Haase J, Bell JL, Hüttelmaier J, Liu T. The critical role of RNA mA methylation in cancer. *Cancer Res* (2019) 79(7):1285–92. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-18-2965

7. Zhao W, Cui Y, Liu L, Ma X, Qi X, Wang Y, et al. METTL3 facilitates OSCC tumorigenesis by enhancing c-myc stability *via* YTHDF1-mediated mA modification. *Mol Ther Nucleic Acids* (2020) 20:1–12. doi: 10.1016/j.omtn.2020.01.033

8. Li D-Q, Huang C-C, Zhang G, Zhou L-L. FTO demethylates YAP mRNA promoting OSCC tumorigenesis. *Neoplasma* (2022) 69(1):71-9. doi: 10.4149/ neo\_2021\_210716N967

9. Tang Z, Li C, Kang B, Gao G, Li C, Zhang Z. GEPIA: a web server for cancer and normal gene expression profiling and interactive analyses. *Nucleic Acids Res* (2017) 45 (W1):W98–W102. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkx247

10. Nagy Á, Munkácsy G, Győrffy B. Pancancer survival analysis of cancer hallmark genes. Sci Rep (2021) 11(1):6047. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-84787-5

11. Gao J, Aksoy BA, Dogrusoz U, Dresdner G, Gross B, Sumer SO, et al. Integrative analysis of complex cancer genomics and clinical profiles using the cBioPortal. *Sci Signal* (2013) 6(269):pl1. doi: 10.1126/scisignal.2004088

12. Li T, Fan J, Wang B, Traugh N, Chen Q, Liu JS, et al. TIMER: A web server for comprehensive analysis of tumor-infiltrating immune cells. *Cancer Res* (2017) 77(21): e108–10. doi: 10.1158/1538-7445.AM2017-108

### Funding

This work was supported by the CAMS Innovation Fund for Medical Sciences (2019-I2M-5-038) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities: Special Projects for Strengthening Basic Research of Peking University (BMU2019JC001).

### Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

### Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2023.1013054/ full#supplementary-material

13. Maher SG, McDowell DT, Collins BC, Muldoon C, Gallagher WM, Reynolds JV. Serum proteomic profiling reveals that pretreatment complement protein levels are predictive of esophageal cancer patient response to neoadjuvant chemoradiation. *Ann Surg* (2011) 254(5):809–16. doi: 10.1097/SLA.0b013e31823699f2

14. Zhu F, Yang T, Yao M, Shen T, Fang C. HNRNPA2B1, as a m(6)a reader, promotes tumorigenesis and metastasis of oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Front Oncol* (2021) 11:716921. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2021.716921

15. Liu L, Wu Y, Li Q, Liang J, He Q, Zhao L, et al. METTL3 promotes tumorigenesis and metastasis through BMI1 mA methylation in oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Mol Ther* (2020) 28(10):2177–90. doi: 10.1016/j.ymthe.2020.06.024

16. Farah CS, Fox SA. Dysplastic oral leukoplakia is molecularly distinct from leukoplakia without dysplasia. Oral Dis (2019) 25(7):1715–23. doi: 10.1111/odi.13156

17. Kerdpon D, Rich AM, Reade PC. Expression of p53 in oral mucosal hyperplasia, dysplasia and squamous cell carcinoma. *Oral Dis* (1997) 3(2):86–92. doi: 10.1111/j.1601-0825.1997.tb00018.x

18. Huang H, Weng H, Sun W, Qin X, Shi H, Wu H, et al. Recognition of RNA nmethyladenosine by IGF2BP proteins enhances mRNA stability and translation. *Nat Cell Biol* (2018) 20(3):285–95. doi: 10.1038/s41556-018-0045-z

19. He L, Li H, Wu A, Peng Y, Shu G, Yin G. Functions of N6-methyladenosine and its role in cancer. *Mol Cancer* (2019) 18(1):176. doi: 10.1186/s12943-019-1109-9

 Nielsen J, Christiansen J, Lykke-Andersen J, Johnsen AH, Wewer UM, Nielsen FC. A family of insulin-like growth factor II mRNA-binding proteins represses translation in late development. *Mol Cell Biol* (1999) 19(2):1262–70. doi: 10.1128/ MCB.19.2.1262

21. Wu X-L, Lu R-Y, Wang L-K, Wang Y-Y, Dai Y-J, Wanget C-Y, et al. Long noncoding RNA HOTAIR silencing inhibits invasion and proliferation of human colon cancer LoVo cells via regulating IGF2BP2. *J Cell Biochem* (2019) 120(2):1229–31. doi: 10.1002/jcb.27079

22. Li T, Hu P-S, Zuo Z, Lin J-F, Li X, Wu Q-N, et al. METTL3 facilitates tumor progression via an mA-IGF2BP2-dependent mechanism in colorectal carcinoma. *Mol Cancer* (2019) 18(1):112. doi: 10.11861S12943-019-1038-7

23. Barghash A, Golob-Schwarzl N, Helms V, Haybaeck J, Kessler SM. Elevated expression of the IGF2 mRNA binding protein 2 (IGF2BP2/IMP2) is linked to short survival and metastasis in esophageal adenocarcinoma. *Oncotarget* (2016) 7(31):49743–50. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.10439

24. Bell JL, Wächter K, Mühleck B, Pazaitis N, Köhn M, Lederer M, et al. Insulin-like growth factor 2 mRNA-binding proteins (IGF2BPs): post-transcriptional drivers of cancer progression? *Cell Mol Life Sci* (2013) 70(15):2657–75. doi: 10.1007/s00018-012-1186-z

25. Clauditz TS, Wang CJ, Gontarewicz A, Blessmann M, Tennstedt P, Borgmann K, et al. Expression of insulin-like growth factor II mRNA-binding protein 3 in squamous cell carcinomas of the head and neck. *J Oral Pathol Med* (2013) 42(2):125–32. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0714.2012.01178.x

26. Hwang YS, Xianglan Z, Park K-K, Chung W-Y. Functional invadopodia formation through stabilization of the PDPN transcript by IMP-3 and cancerstromal crosstalk for PDPN expression. *Carcinogenesis* (2012) 33(11):2135–46. doi: 10.1093/carcin/bgs258

27. Wang X, Tian L, Li Y, Wang J, Yan B, Yang L, et al. RBM15 facilitates laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma progression by regulating TMBIM6 stability through IGF2BP3 dependent. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res* (2021) 40(1):80. doi: 10.1186/s13046-021-01871-4

28. Yang Z, Wang T, Wu D, Min Z, Tan J, Yu B. RNA N6-methyladenosine reader IGF2BP3 regulates cell cycle and angiogenesis in colon cancer. J Exp Clin Cancer Res (2020) 39(1):203. doi: 10.1186/s13046-020-01714-8